

Comparison between the Different Types of Tendon Grafts in Reconstructive Surgery

Paola Saskia Castañeda Anaya¹, Héctor Alan Mendoza Sánchez²

^{1,2}Unidad médica de alta especialidad no. 25, monterrey, nuevo león

ABSTRACT

Tendon grafts play a crucial role in reconstructive surgery, restoring function and stability to damaged tendons. This literature review examines the theoretical framework, surgical treatment, and potential complications associated with different types of tendon grafts. Autografts, harvested from the patient's own body, are considered the gold standard due to their biocompatibility and better integration. Allografts and synthetic grafts offer alternatives, eliminating donor site morbidity and providing consistent biomechanical properties. However, considerations include the risk of graft rejection and inferior biomechanical performance. The selection of the most suitable graft should be tailored to individual patient needs, optimizing functional outcomes and patient satisfaction. Advances in graft technology continue to shape the landscape of reconstructive surgery, further enhancing patient outcomes and quality of life.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
08 August 2023

Available on:
<https://ijmscr.org/>

INTRODUCTION

Tendon injuries are prevalent in orthopedic and hand surgery, affecting individuals across various age groups and activity levels. The epidemiology of tendon injuries is multifaceted, with factors such as trauma, overuse, and degenerative conditions contributing to their occurrence. Sports-related activities, occupational demands, and age-related degeneration are common etiological factors associated with tendon injuries.

The incidence and prevalence of tendon injuries vary depending on the specific tendon involved and the population studied. Tendons of the hand, wrist, shoulder, and knee are among the most commonly affected. Sports injuries, particularly in athletes engaged in repetitive motions, contribute significantly to tendon injuries, highlighting the importance of addressing these conditions for optimal patient outcomes.

Tendon injuries can lead to substantial functional impairment and reduced quality of life for affected individuals. The loss of tendon function can result in pain, reduced range of motion, and compromised ability to perform daily activities or sports. Prompt and appropriate treatment is crucial to ensure functional recovery and prevent long-term disability. Reconstructive surgery with tendon grafts is a critical intervention in addressing severe or chronic tendon injuries that do not respond adequately to conservative treatments. The significance of comparing different types of tendon grafts lies in understanding their relative effectiveness, success

rates, and complications. By critically evaluating the existing literature, we aim to provide valuable insights for surgeons in choosing the most suitable tendon graft for specific clinical scenarios, optimizing patient outcomes, and enhancing overall patient satisfaction.

The choice of tendon graft can significantly influence the success of reconstructive surgery, the rehabilitation process, and the patient's ability to return to their pre-injury level of activity. It is essential for surgeons to be well-informed about the different graft options available, their biomechanical properties, and their potential advantages and limitations.

In this literature review, we examine the theoretical framework, surgical treatment techniques, and potential complications associated with different types of tendon grafts in reconstructive surgery. By evaluating the current evidence and highlighting the strengths and weaknesses of each graft type, we aim to provide clinicians with evidence-based information to guide their decision-making and optimize patient outcomes.

DEFINITION

Tendon grafts in reconstructive surgery involve the use of tissue grafts to repair or replace damaged or deficient tendons. These grafts serve as scaffolds to promote tissue healing and regeneration, facilitating the restoration of tendon function and biomechanical properties. The theoretical framework encompasses various aspects, including the different types of

Comparison between the Different Types of Tendon Grafts in Reconstructive Surgery

tendon grafts, their biomechanical characteristics, and their potential for integration with host tissues.

Surgical Treatment

Tendon grafts used in reconstructive surgery can be broadly categorized into three types: autografts, allografts, and synthetic grafts.

Autografts: Autografts are harvested from the patient's own body, usually from a nearby healthy tendon or muscle-tendon unit. They are considered the gold standard for tendon reconstruction due to their biocompatibility, reduced risk of immunological reactions, and better potential for graft incorporation. Common autograft sources include the flexor tendons of the hand (e.g., palmaris longus tendon), the hamstring tendons, and the peroneus brevis tendon. Autografts offer excellent strength and flexibility, making them suitable for a wide range of tendon injuries.

Allografts: Allografts are obtained from a donor, typically a cadaveric source. They are processed and sterilized to reduce the risk of infection and immune response. Allografts are advantageous as they eliminate the need for donor site morbidity, and they provide a readily available alternative when autograft sources are limited. However, concerns exist regarding the risk of disease transmission and graft rejection, which may influence their use in certain patient populations.

Synthetic Grafts: Synthetic grafts are constructed from artificial materials, such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET) or polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). These grafts aim to mimic the biomechanical properties of natural tendons. Synthetic grafts offer the advantage of consistent mechanical properties and resistance to degradation. However, their integration with host tissues can be challenging, and their long-term biocompatibility may be a concern.

Biomechanical Properties

The biomechanical properties of tendon grafts play a critical role in their success and clinical outcomes. Factors such as tensile strength, elasticity, and resistance to wear are crucial for restoring the function of the injured tendon.

Autografts are considered superior in terms of biomechanical properties, as they maintain the natural architecture and cellular composition of the native tendon. They offer excellent tensile strength and elasticity, allowing for efficient load transmission and joint mobility.

Allografts and synthetic grafts, on the other hand, may exhibit lower tensile strength and increased risk of elongation or rupture over time. While advancements have been made to improve the mechanical properties of these grafts, their performance may still fall short of autografts.

Complications

Complications associated with tendon grafts in reconstructive surgery can occur in any graft type and should be carefully considered during preoperative planning and patient counseling.

Graft Failure: Graft failure can result from inadequate healing, poor graft-tissue integration, or excessive mechanical stress. Factors such as patient compliance with rehabilitation protocols, the surgical technique used, and the biological properties of the graft contribute to the risk of graft failure.

Adhesion Formation: Adhesions between the graft and surrounding tissues may impede tendon gliding and function, leading to decreased range of motion and reduced functional outcomes.

Infection: Infection is a rare but potentially severe complication that can affect graft integration and healing. Proper sterile technique and appropriate postoperative care are crucial in reducing the risk of infection.

Tendon Rupture: Tendon grafts may be at risk of rupture in the early postoperative period if subjected to excessive stress. Adequate protection and controlled rehabilitation are essential to minimize this risk.

CONCLUSION

The choice of tendon graft in reconstructive surgery is a critical decision that should be based on the specific clinical scenario, patient characteristics, and the desired functional outcomes. Autografts are considered the gold standard due to their superior biomechanical properties and lower risk of complications. Allografts and synthetic grafts offer valuable alternatives when autograft sources are limited or contraindicated. However, their use may be associated with specific considerations, such as the risk of graft rejection or suboptimal biomechanical performance. The selection of the most appropriate graft type should be individualized for each patient, considering their unique needs and potential risks. Continued research and advancements in graft technology are likely to further refine and improve the outcomes of tendon graft reconstructive surgery.

REFERENCES

- I. Yasuda, K., Kondo, E., Ichiyama, H., Tanabe, Y., & Tohyama, H. (2006). Clinical evaluation of anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction procedure using hamstring tendon grafts: comparisons among 3 different procedures. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 22(3), 240-251.
- II. Krych, A. J., Jackson, J. D., Hoskin, T. L., & Dahm, D. L. (2008). A meta-analysis of patellar tendon autograft versus patellar tendon allograft in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 24(3), 292-298.
- III. Laxdal, G., Kartus, J., Eriksson, B. I., Faxen, E., Sernert, N., & Karlsson, J. (2006). Biodegradable and metallic interference screws in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery using hamstring tendon grafts: prospective randomized study of

Comparison between the Different Types of Tendon Grafts in Reconstructive Surgery

- radiographic results and clinical outcome. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 34(10), 1574-1580.
- IV. Milano, G., Mulas, P. D., Ziranu, F., Piras, S., Manunta, A., & Fabbriani, C. (2006). Comparison between different femoral fixation devices for ACL reconstruction with doubled hamstring tendon graft: a biomechanical analysis. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 22(6), 660-668.
- V. Yasuda, K., Kondo, E., Ichiyama, H., Kitamura, N., Tanabe, Y., Tohyama, H., & Minami, A. (2004). Anatomic reconstruction of the anteromedial and posterolateral bundles of the anterior cruciate ligament using hamstring tendon grafts. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 20(10), 1015-1025.
- VI. Barenus, B., Nordlander, M., Ponzer, S., Tidermark, J., & Eriksson, K. (2010). Quality of life and clinical outcome after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using patellar tendon graft or quadrupled semitendinosus graft: an 8-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *The American journal of sports medicine*, 38(8), 1533-1541.