### International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Research Studies

ISSN(print): 2767-8326, ISSN(online): 2767-8342

Volume 03 Issue 01 January 2023

Page No: 35-40

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmscrs/v3-i1-09, Impact Factor: 5.365

### **Correlation of Maternal Blood Glucose Level to Maternal Delivery and Fetal Outcome in Hasan Sadikin General Hospital**

Hikmat Permana<sup>1</sup>, Yogie Setyabudi<sup>2</sup>, Bachti Alisjahbana<sup>3</sup>, Sumartini Dewi<sup>4</sup>, Ervita<sup>5</sup>, Wiryawan Permadi<sup>6</sup>, Nanny Natalia Mulyani Soetedjo<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4,5,7</sup>Endocrine Division of Internal Medicine Department, Padjadjaran University Bandung <sup>6</sup>Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Padjadjaran University Bandung

ABSTRACT	
----------	--

**Introduction:** Increase of blood glucose level In pregnant woman correlated with increased risk of maternal morbidity, and problems in labour also affect child outcome. This phenomena cause by physiological changes occur in pregnancy such as placental factor and inflammation factor in circulation. Changes in inflammation factor like *tumor nerosis factor* (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and beta-cell correlated gene disfunction (*potassium voltage-gated channel KQT-like 1 (Kcnq1)* and *glucokinase (Gck)*) could affect blood glucose level. Blood glucose control in pregnant woman known to be effective to decrease and prevent complication in mother and fetus, such as preeclampsia, prematurity, low birth weight, and asphyxia baby. This research wish to know correlation of maternal blood glucose level to maternal delivery and fetal outcome.

**Methods:** This research use seconder data from medical records as retrospective cohort study. Subject of this study are pregnant mother which given birth in Hasan Sadikin Hospital (RSHS) sbetween January 2018 – December 2021 and has been performed blood glucose test when first time administered in Hasan Sadikin Hospital. Analitic statistic was performed with contingency correlation test, association test with chi-square and continued with multivariate logistic regression.

**Results:** From 8996 subjects, positive correlation was founded between high random blood glucose with preeclampsia (r=0.154, p<0.001), premature labour (r=0.020, p=0.052), and low birth weight (r= -0.034, p=0.003). Correlation between random blood glucose and asphyxia was unfounded (r=0.003, p=0.809).

**Conclusion:** There's weak positive correlation between random blood glucose to preeclampsia and prematurity incidence. There's also weak negative correlation between random blood glucose and baby birth weight. Correlation between blood glucose and APGAR score was unfounded.

KEYWORDS: random blood glucose; pregnancy; maternal outcome; fetal outcome

#### INTRODUCTION

Maternal mortality rate (MMR) and neonatal mortality rate (NMR) are primary indicator for civil health and stated as one of the goals in Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). According to *Survei Demografi Keluarga Indonesia* (SDKI) in 2012, Indonesian MMR reach 305/100.000 life birth and NMR reached 32 per 1.000 life birth. These numbers had make Indonesia has highest rank in Southeast Asia. One of the solution for these problems and to increase quality of mother and child is to do early detection and prevention also

correct management is mandatory to prevent complication in pregnancy.

Available on:

https://ijmscr.org/

**ARTICLE DETAILS** 

Different with gestational diabetes (GDM) incidence globally, GDM incidence in Indonesia only 1,9 - 3,6%. This number is relatively low compared GDM incidence in South East Asia which reach 24,2%. Some anomalies felt by the author seeing that number. First, in Indonesia GDM early screening was not perform yet in primary health care, and there's no guideline about antenatal care in primary and secondary health facility establish yet.

Until now, authors haven't found about correlation between maternal blood glucose and maternal and fetal outcome. This insight made authors to this research.

#### METHODS

This research use secondary data from medical records as retrospective cohort study. Total subject was 8996 subject, which analitic statistic was performed with contingency correlation test, association test with chi-square and continued with multivariate logistic regression.

Independent variable on this research is random blood glucose level, meanwhile dependent variable are maternal delivery outcome and fetal outcome. Correlation between two variables was assess with contingency correlation continued by multivariate logistic regression to determine the relation between variable (P <0.005).

#### RESULTS

From 8996 subjects, 1934 subjects were preeclampsia. Analytical statistics show positive correlation between random blood glucose level and preeclampsia (r=0.154, p<0.001), on subject with random blood glucose level  $\geq$ 140 g/dl, incidence of preeclampsia increase by 27% with risk ratio (RR) 1.93. Based on multivariate analysis, found between random blood glucose, ages and parity affect the incidence rate of preeclampsia. Age  $\geq$ 35, multiparity, and grande multiparity affect the risk of developing preeclampsia with adjusted RR 1.83.

Table.1 Correlation Between Random Blood Glucose and Preeclam	psia
---	------

	Total	Preeclampsia				
Variable	10tai n=8006	Yes	No	P value	r-Coefficient	RR (95% CI)
	11-0990	n=1934 n=7062				
Random Blood						
<b>Glucose Level</b>						
$\geq 140 \text{ g/dL}$	5167	1396 (27.0)	3771 (73.0)	< 0.001*	0.154	1.93 (1.76 – 2.10)
< 140 g/dL	3829	538 (14.1)	3291 (85.9)			
* r-coefficient calculat	ted by contin	gency correlation	RR-Risk Ratio			

\* r-coefficient calculated by contingency correlation, RR=Risk Ratio

Variable	Adjusted RR (95% CI)	P value
Random Blood Glucose Level		
$\geq 140 \text{ g/dL}$	1.87 (1.71 – 2.04)	< 0.001*
< 140 g/dL	Ref	
Age		
≤17 y.o	0.91 (0.70 – 1.17)	0.449
18-34 y.o	Ref	
≥ 35 y.o	1.67 (1.28 – 2.17)	< 0.001*
Parity		
Primiparity	Ref	
Multiparity	1.26 (1.15 – 1.39)	< 0.001*
Grande multiparity	1.60 (1.39 – 1.83)	< 0.001*

Dependent variable: Preeclampsia, RR=Risk Ratio

Random blood glucose level and age also affect the incidence of prematurity, meanwhile parity didn't affect prematurity incidence. Age  $\leq 17$  years old with random blood glucose level  $\geq 140$  g/dL affect the risk of prematurity with *adjusted* 

RR 1.05. Preeclampsia and prematurity also corelated with low birth weight, meanwhile random blood glucose level and age didn't affect incidence of low birth weight

Table 3.	Correlation	of Random	Blood	Glucose and	Prematurity
Lable 5.	Contraction	or manuom	Dioou	oracose ana	1 I Cinatur Ity

	Total	Prematurity		P value r-Coefficient		RR (95% CI)
Variable	n=8996	Yes n=4096	No n=4900		r-Coefficient	
RandomBloodGlucose Level						
$\geq$ 140 g/dL	5167	2398 (46.4)	2769 (53.6)	0.052	0.020	1.05 (1.00 - 1.10)
< 140 g/dL	3829	1698 (44.3)	2131 (55.7)			
* r coofficiant calculated	t by continge	now correlation P	D-Dick Datio			

\* r-coefficient calculated by contingency correlation, RR=Risk Ratio

Variable	Adjusted RR (95% CI)	P value
Random Blood Glucose Level		
$\geq$ 140 g/dL	1.05(1.00 - 1.10)	0.042*
< 140 g/dL	Ref	
Age		
≤ 17 y.o	1.17 (1.05 – 1.30)	0.004*
18-34 y.o	Ref	
≥35 y.o	1.04 (0.98 - 1.09)	0.252
Parity		
Primiparity	Ref	
Multiparity	1.00 (0.95 - 1.06)	0.874
Grande multiparity	0.92 (0.83 – 1.02)	0.118

Table 4. Multivariate A	nalysis on Random	Blood Glucose v	vith Prematurity
Table 7. Multivallate A	narysis on Kanuon	Divou Giucosc v	fill I I Cinatul Ity

Dependent variable: Prematurity, RR=Risk Ratio

#### Table 5. Correlation of Random Blood Glucose and Birth Weight

		Birth Weight				
Variable	Total n=7855	Low Birth Weight n=4010	Normal n=3845	P value	r-Coefficient	RR (95% CI)
Random Blood						
Glucose Level						
$\geq 140 \text{ g/dL}$	4420	2322 (52.5)	2098 (47.5)	0.003*	-0.034	1.07 (1.02 – 1.12)
< 140 g/dL	3435	1688 (49.1)	1747 (50.9)			

\* r-coefficient calculated by contingency correlation, RR=Risk Ratio

#### Table 6. Multivariate Analysis on Random Blood Glucose with Birth Weight

Variable	Adjusted RR (95% CI)	P Value
Random Blood Glucose Level		
$\geq 140 \text{ g/dL}$	1.00 (0.98 - 1.03)	0.733
< 140 g/dL	Ref	
Age		
≤ 17 y.o	1.03 (0.98 - 1.08)	0.199
18-34 y.o	Ref	
≥ 35 y.o	1.00(0.98 - 1.08)	0.982
Preeclampsia		
Yes	4.78 (4.49 - 5.10)	< 0.001*
No	Ref	
Prematurity		
Yes	1.03 (1.00 – 1.05)	0.027*
No	Ref	

Dependent Variable: Low birth weight, RR=Risk Ratio

Preeclampsia and prematurity increase the incidence risk of low birth weight. The adjusted RR value for preeclampsia is 4.78. Age and prematurity have an effect on the incidence of asphyxia, while blood glucose and parity have no effect on prematurity. Age  $\leq 17$  years and  $\geq 35$  years increases the risk of asphyxia. The adjusted RR value for age  $\leq 17$  years is 1.49 and for age  $\geq 35$  years is 1.22, and the adjusted RR value for prematurity is 2.88.

Variable		<b>T</b> ( 1	APGAR				RR (95% CI)
		n=8996	Asphyxia n=889	Asphyxia Normal P value r-Coefficien n=889 n=8107	r-Coefficient		
Random	Blood						
Glucose Level	1						
$\geq$ 140 g/dL		5167	514 (9.9)	4653 (90.1)	0.809	0.003	1.06 (0.90 - 1.15)
< 140 g/dL		3829	375 (9.8)	3454 (90.2)			

Table 7. Correlation of Random	n Blood Glucose with APGAR Score
--------------------------------	----------------------------------

\* r-coefficient calculated by contingency correlation, RR=Risk Ratio

	3 7 3 4 4		<b>D</b> 1 D1	1 01		
Table X.	Multivariate	Analysis on	Random Blood	d (÷lucose	with A	snhvvia
Lable 0.	muntitutt	many sis on	Rundom Dioo	a Gracose	WILLI IN	spinymia

Variable	Adjusted RR (95% CI)	P value
Random Blood Glucose Level		
$\geq 140 \text{ g/dL}$	0.99 (0.88 – 1.13)	0.926
< 140 g/dL	Ref	
Age		
≤ 17 y.o	1.49 (1.15 – 1.92)	0.003*
18-34 y.o	Ref	
≥ 35 y.o	1.22 (1.06 – 1.40)	0.005*
Prematurity		
Yes	2.88 (2.51 – 3.32)	< 0.001*
No	Ref	

Dependent variable: Asphyxia, RR=Risk Ratio

#### DISCUSSION

Of the 8996 subjects in this study, there were 54.6% of the subjects who had spontaneous deliveries and 45.4% had caesarean section deliveries. The highest parity was grande multipara (54.0%), then primipara (38.6%) and multipara 7.4%. The average blood glucose level in the subjects of this study was 158 g/dL (SD: 64 g/dL), random blood glucose level <140 g/dl was 42.6%, and random blood glucose level  $\geq$ 140 g/dl was 57.4%.

Although this study used random blood glucose variables, it is possible that pregnant women with high random blood glucose have experienced chronic hyperglycemia which plays a role in the pathogenesis of complications that occur in both mother and baby. The impact of acute hyperglycemia can be seen in an in vitro study conducted by Spindler et al in 2016, in healthy subjects who were given dextrose and ocreotide intravenously for 2 hours in a row, showing a decrease in IL-6 expression in intermediate mosocytes. Hyperglycemia conditions will initially cause a non-enzymatic reaction of glucose with amino acids which will form the formation of amadori product which is reversible. This amadori product will then undergo more complex reactions such as rearrangement, dehydration and condensation processes to form irreversible bonds called advanced glycation end products (AGEs) which have an important role in various complications of hyperglycemia.

In this study, it was shown that there was a weak positive correlation between transient blood glucose levels and the incidence of preeclampsia (r=0.154, p<0.001). It was seen

that 14.1% of subjects with random blood glucose level <140 g/dl had preeclampsia. then in subjects with random blood glucose level  $\geq$ 140 g/dl, the incidence of preeclampsia increased to 27.0%, the Risk Ratio (RR) was 1.93, meaning that subjects with current blood glucose levels  $\geq$ 140 g/dl had 1.93 times the risk of experiencing preeclampsia.

In multivariate analysis, it was found that random blood glucose level, age and parity had an influence on the incidence of preeclampsia. Random blood glucose level  $\geq$  140, age  $\geq$  35 years, multipara and grande multipara types of parity increase the risk of preeclampsia with RR 1.87, 1.67, 1.26, and 1.6. With relative risks that are not much different (1.60 and 1.67), we can see that grande multipara occurs mostly in the age group > 35 years, so it is very likely that in addition to high blood glucose, the incidence of preeclampsia in the subjects of this study is also influenced by the mother's metabolic condition. before pregnancy or the possibility of chronic diseases that have been owned by the mother before pregnancy.

In multivariate analysis, it was found that random blood glucose level  $\geq 140$  g/dL and maternal age  $\leq 17$  years had a risk for the occurrence of prematurity with RR 1.05 and 1.17. Prematurity itself is influenced by many factors, based on a literature search it is said that hyperglycemia in pregnancy directly causes an increase in oxidative stress which is the basic mechanism that causes preterm labor. Indirectly, the condition of preeclampsia which increases at the age of  $\leq 17$  years can also affect prematurity, one of the treatments for

preeclampsia in pregnant women is by termination of pregnancy.

There is a negative correlation between maternal blood glucose levels and baby's birth weight (r = -0.034, p = 0.003), in subjects with random blood glucose level <140 g/dl, 49.1% had low birth weight, then in subjects with random blood glucose level S  $\geq$ 140 g/dl, 52.5% had low birth weight. RR value was 1.07. Based on multivariate logistic regression analysis, it was found that preeclampsia and prematurity had an influence on the incidence of low birth weight babies, while random blood glucose level and age had no effect on low birth weight babies. Preeclampsia and prematurity increase the risk of low birth weight incidences. The adjusted RR value for preeclampsia was 4.78, meaning that subjects with preeclampsia had 4.78 times risk of having a low birth weight baby compared to normal subjects after controlled for blood glucose levels, age and prematurity. The adjusted RR value of prematurity was 1.03, meaning that subjects with prematurity had 1.03 times risk of having a low birth weight baby compared to normal subjects after controlled for blood glucose levels, age and preeclampsia. In addition, there are other physiological factors that affect the baby's birth weight, which is preterm gestational age.

From the results of this study, it was found that there was no correlation between random blood glucose levels with the incidence of asphyxia (r=0.003, p=0.809). From the multivariate test, it was found that age and prematurity had an effect on the incidence of asphyxia, while random blood glucose and parity had no effect on prematurity. Age  $\leq 17$ years and  $\geq$ 35 years increases the risk of asphyxia. The adjusted RR value for age  $\leq 17$  years was 1.49 and age  $\geq 35$ years was 1.22, meaning that subjects aged  $\leq 17$  years had 1.49 times and age  $\geq$ 35 years had 1.22 times risk of having an asphyxia baby compared to ages 18-35 years. The adjusted RR value of prematurity was 2.88, meaning that subjects with prematurity had 2.88 times risk of having an asphyxia baby. Based on the results of this study, it was found that there is a correlation between maternal blood glucose levels and the occurrence of poor birth outcomes, so that random blood glucose test during pregnancy is a practical and efficient alternative method of choice for now in screening gestational diabetes mellitus and controlling blood glucose levels in pregnant women in Indonesia if the OGTT is difficult to do, but still inferior when compared to fasting blood glucose and 2 hours post prandial blood glucose test.

#### CONCLUSION

There is a weak positive correlation between random blood glucose levels with the incidence of preeclampsia and prematurity. There is a weak negative correlation between random blood glucose levels and birth weight, there is no correlation between random blood glucose levels and poor APGAR scores.

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

Research have been permitted by Ethical Comitte of RSUP Dr. Hasan Sadikin Bandung

(Nomor: LB.02.01/X.6.5/220/2022)

#### REFERENCES

- I. Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. Buku Ajar Kesehatan Ibu dan Anak. Mulati E, Royati OF, Widyaningsih Y, editors. Pusat Pendidikan dan Pelatihan Tenaga Kesehatan; 2014.
- II. Koning SH, Hoogenberg K, Lutgers HL, van den Berg PP, Wolffenbuttel BHR. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus:current knowledge and unmet needs. Journal of Diabetes. 2016.
- III. ACOG. Gestational diabetes mellitus. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 190. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol. 2018.
- IV. Plows JF, Stanley JL, Baker PN, Reynolds CM, Vickers MH. The pathophysiology of gestational diabetes mellitus. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2018
- V. McIntyre HD, Kapur A, Divakar H, Hod M. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus—Innovative Approach to Prediction, Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Future NCD—Mother and Offspring. Vol. 11, Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2020
- VI. McIntyre HD, Catalano P, Zhang C, Desoye G, Mathiesen ER, Damm P. Gestational diabetes mellitus. Nature Reviews Disease Primers. 2019
- VII. Kurniawan F. Diabetes Mellitus Gestasional. Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism Department of Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia/Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital. 2017
- VIII. Cunningham F, Leveno K, Bloom S, Dashe J, Hoffman B, Casey B, et al. Williams Obstetrics. 25th ed. McGraw Hill; 2018
- IX. PERKENI. Pedoman Diagnosis dan Penatalaksanaan Hiperglikemia dalam Kehamilan. PB PERKENI; 2021.
- X. Perkumpulan Endokrinologi Indonesia. Pedoman Pengelolaan dan Pencegahan Diabetes Melitus Tipe 2 Dewasa di Indonesia. Perkumpulan Endokrinologi Indonesia. PB PERKENI; 2019
- XI. ADA. 2. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2019. Diabetes Care . 2019
- XII. Church D, Halsall D, Meek C, Parker RA, Murphy HR, Simmons D. Random blood glucose measurement at antenatal booking to screen for overt diabetes in pregnancy: a retrospective study. Diabetes Care. 2011

- XIII. Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. New England Journal of Medicine. 2008
- XIV. ACOG. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Committee Opinion. Physical activity and exercise during pregnancy and the postpartum period. 2015 (Reaffirmed 2019) Number 650. 8 pages. Obstet Gynecol. 2020
- XV. Lee KW et al. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Asia: a Systematic Review and Meta Analysis. BMC. 2018
- XVI. Kerenyi et al. Maternal Glycemia and Risk of Large For Gestational Age Babies in a Population-Based Screening. Diabetes Care. 2009
- XVII. Djomhou et al. Maternal Hyperglycemia During Labor and Related Immediate Post-partum Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes. Journal of Health, Population, Nutrition. 2016
- XVIII. Fuka F et al. Factors Associated With Macrosomia, Hypoglycemia and Low APGAR Score. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2020
- XIX. Stenhouse E; Wright DE; Millward HBA. Maternal Glucose Levels Influence Birthweight and Catch Up and Catch Down Growth in Large Contemporary Cohort. Diabet Medicine. 2006

- XX. Heim KR, Mulla MJ, Potter JA, Han CS, Guller S, Abrahams VM. Excess glucose induce trophoblast inflammation and limit cell migration through HMGB1 activation of Toll-Like receptor 4. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2018 Nov;80(5):e13044.
- XXI. Darling AM et al. Maternal Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2014
- XXII. Spindler MP, Ho AM, Tridgell D, McCulloch-Olson M, Gersuk V, Ni C, Greenbaum C, Sanda S. Acute hyperglycemia impairs IL-6 expression in humans. Immun Inflamm Dis. 2016
- XXIII. Lulu Ji, Zhiguo Chen, Yating Xu, Guoping Xiong, Rui Liu, Chao Wu, Hanyang Hu, Lin Wang, Systematic Characterization of Autophagy in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, *Endocrinology*, Volume 158, Issue 8, 1 August 2017
- XXIV. Hung TH, Chen SF, Lo LM, Li MJ, Yeh YL, Hsieh TT. Increased autophagy in placentas of intrauterine growth-restricted pregnancies. PLoS One. 2012
- XXV. Marcovecchio ML. Complications of Acute and Chronic Hyperglycemia. US Endocrinology. 2017