International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Research Studies

ISSN(print): 2767-8326, ISSN(online): 2767-8342

Volume 04 Issue 10 October 2024

Page No: 1778-1784

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmscrs/v4-i10-09, Impact Factor: 7.949

Percutaneous Treatment of Coronary Pseudoaneurysms: A Comprehensive Review

Donaldo Emiliano Silva López[*1], Marcela Martínez Celis González[2], Alejandro Tarango García[3], Rosa Estela Martínez Rodríguez[1], Geraldine Nieves Vázquez[4], Alexia Paola Rodríguez Barboza[2]

[1]Hospital Centenario Miguel Hidalgo. Aguascalientes, Aguascalientes, México.

[2]Tecnológico de Monterrey Campus Monterrey. Facultad de Medicina. Monterrey, Nuevo León, México.

[3]Universidad Autónoma de Aguascalientes, Aguascalientes, México.

[4]Hospital Civil de Guadalajara. Guadalajara, Jalisco, México.

ABSTRACT

Background: Coronary pseudoaneurysms (CPAs) are rare but significant complications of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs), trauma, or infections. They pose a considerable risk due to potential rupture and thromboembolism. While surgical repair has been the traditional approach, percutaneous methods have emerged as viable alternatives.

Objective: This review aims to provide a detailed analysis of the current techniques, outcomes, and future perspectives of percutaneous treatment of CPAs.

Methods: A comprehensive literature review was conducted, focusing on studies and case reports that describe the percutaneous management of CPAs. Techniques such as coil embolization, covered stent placement, and thrombin injection were evaluated.

Results: The success rates, complication rates, and long-term outcomes of various percutaneous techniques were analyzed. Additionally, patient selection criteria and procedural considerations were discussed.

Conclusion: Percutaneous treatment of CPAs is a promising alternative to surgical intervention, offering lower morbidity and shorter recovery times. However, careful patient selection and a thorough understanding of the available techniques are crucial for optimal outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Coronary, pseudoaneurysms, Percutaneous, coronary, intervention

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
03 October 2024

Available on:
https://ijmscr.org/

INTRODUCTION

Coronary pseudoaneurysms (CPAs) represent a rare yet critical complication often arising from percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs), trauma, or infectious processes. Unlike true aneurysms, CPAs lack all three arterial wall layers, leading to a higher propensity for rupture and other complications. Traditionally, surgical repair has been the cornerstone of CPA management. However, advancements in interventional cardiology have introduced percutaneous techniques as viable alternatives, offering less invasive options with favorable outcomes.1,2

The pathophysiology of CPAs involves the disruption of the arterial wall, leading to the formation of a contained rupture. This pseudoaneurysm can expand, exerting pressure on adjacent cardiac structures and potentially leading to rupture, thromboembolism, or compression of coronary arteries. Given these significant risks, timely and effective management is paramount.1,2

This review aims to elucidate the various percutaneous techniques available for the treatment of CPAs, evaluating their efficacy, safety, and long-term outcomes. By synthesizing current evidence, we aim to provide clinicians with a comprehensive guide to the percutaneous management of this complex condition.1,2

INDICATIONS FOR PERCUTANEOUS TREATMENT OF CORONARY PSEUDOANEURYSMS

Coronary pseudoaneurysms (CPAs) are characterized by a breach in the coronary artery wall, leading to the formation of a blood-filled cavity that communicates with the arterial lumen but lacks the full arterial wall structure. This condition poses significant risks, including rupture, embolization, and compression of adjacent structures. Traditionally managed surgically, CPAs are increasingly being treated percutaneously due to advancements in interventional cardiology. The indications for percutaneous treatment of CPAs are multifaceted and require a comprehensive understanding of patient-specific factors, anatomical considerations, and the inherent risks of the condition.1,2

1. Symptomatic CPAs
Symptomatic CPAs present a clear indication for intervention. Symptoms may include chest pain, shortness of breath, or signs of myocardial ischemia resulting from compression of adjacent coronary arteries or embolization. Patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome secondary to CPA rupture or thromboembolism necessitate urgent intervention. Percutaneous treatment in these cases can provide rapid symptom relief and stabilization.1,2

2. Asymptomatic but Expanding CPAs
Asymptomatic CPAs that demonstrate evidence of progressive enlargement on serial imaging studies also warrant intervention. The risk of rupture increases with the size of the pseudoaneurysm, and early percutaneous intervention can prevent catastrophic outcomes. Monitoring the size and growth rate of the CPA through modalities such as coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) is crucial in these patients.1,2

3. CPAs in Patients with High Surgical Risk
Patients deemed high risk for surgical intervention due to comorbidities such as advanced age, severe left ventricular dysfunction, chronic kidney disease, or significant pulmonary disease are prime candidates for percutaneous treatment. The less invasive nature of percutaneous approaches offers a safer alternative with reduced perioperative morbidity and mortality.1,2

4. CPAs Associated with Previous Coronary Interventions
Pseudoaneurysms that arise as complications of prior coronary interventions, including percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) with stenting or balloon angioplasty, can be effectively managed percutaneously. The localized nature of these iatrogenic CPAs often makes them amenable to targeted endovascular techniques such as covered stent placement or coil embolization.1,2

5. CPAs with a Favorable Anatomical Location
The anatomical location of the CPA significantly influences the feasibility and success of percutaneous treatment. Pseudoaneurysms located in accessible segments of the coronary arteries, such as the proximal or mid-segments, are more amenable to percutaneous intervention. Additionally, CPAs that are not intimately associated with major side branches or bifurcations pose fewer technical challenges and carry a lower risk of complications during endovascular procedures.1,2

6. CPAs with Thrombus Formation
CPAs that exhibit thrombus formation within the aneurysmal sac present a heightened risk of embolization and ischemic events. Percutaneous treatment options, such as thrombin injection or coil embolization, can effectively manage these pseudoaneurysms by promoting thrombosis and subsequent occlusion of the pseudoaneurysmal cavity.

7. Patient Preference and Quality of Life Considerations
Informed patient preference plays a crucial role in the decision-making process for CPA management. Patients who prefer minimally invasive options due to concerns about the recovery time and potential complications associated with surgical repair may opt for percutaneous treatment. Additionally, the impact of the pseudoaneurysm and its treatment on the patient's quality of life should be carefully considered, with a focus on achieving optimal outcomes with the least disruption to the patient's daily activities.3,4
The indications for percutaneous treatment of coronary pseudoaneurysms are diverse and must be individualized based on clinical presentation, anatomical factors, patient comorbidities, and personal preferences. Symptomatic CPAs, expanding asymptomatic CPAs, high surgical risk patients, iatrogenic CPAs, favorable anatomical locations, thrombus-laden CPAs, and patient preference are key considerations guiding the selection of percutaneous interventions. By carefully evaluating these factors, clinicians can effectively employ percutaneous techniques to manage CPAs, offering a viable and often preferable alternative to surgical intervention.3,4

CONTRAINDICATIONS FOR PERCUTANEOUS TREATMENT OF CORONARY PSEUDOANEURYSMS

Coronary pseudoaneurysms (CPAs) are complex lesions that require careful assessment for appropriate management. While percutaneous treatment offers a minimally invasive option with favorable outcomes, certain contraindications must be considered to ensure patient safety and treatment efficacy. The contraindications for percutaneous intervention in CPAs encompass a range of clinical, anatomical, and technical factors that may increase the risk of complications or reduce the likelihood of successful outcomes.3,4

1. Unstable Hemodynamics
Patients with hemodynamic instability, such as those in cardiogenic shock or with severe hypotension, are generally contraindicated for percutaneous treatment. The invasive nature of the procedure and the potential for peri-procedural complications necessitate a stable cardiovascular status. In such cases, surgical intervention or stabilization of the patient's condition prior to considering percutaneous options is preferred.3,4

2. Inaccessible or Unfavorable Anatomical Location
The anatomical location of the CPA plays a crucial role in determining the feasibility of percutaneous intervention.

Pseudoaneurysms located in inaccessible or technically challenging regions, such as distal coronary segments, bifurcations, or areas with significant tortuosity, may pose difficulties for catheter navigation and device deployment. Additionally, CPAs involving major side branches or areas with complex vascular anatomy may increase the risk of incomplete exclusion or damage to adjacent structures.3,4

3. Large Pseudoaneurysm Size

Large pseudoaneurysms, particularly those exceeding 20 mm in diameter, may present a contraindication for percutaneous treatment due to the increased risk of rupture during the procedure and the technical challenges associated with achieving complete exclusion. In such cases, surgical intervention may be more appropriate to ensure a more definitive and secure repair.3,4

4. Presence of Active Infection

Active infections, including infective endocarditis or bacteremia, are contraindications for percutaneous intervention due to the risk of seeding the infection into the pseudoaneurysm site and exacerbating the condition. Management of the infection with appropriate antimicrobial therapy is essential before considering any invasive procedures. Once the infection is adequately controlled, re-evaluation for percutaneous treatment can be performed.3,4

5. Extensive Thrombus Formation

Extensive thrombus formation within the pseudoaneurysmal sac presents a significant risk for embolization during percutaneous treatment. The manipulation of devices within the thrombus-laden cavity can dislodge thrombotic material, leading to distal embolization and potentially severe ischemic events. In such cases, a surgical approach with direct visualization and removal of thrombus may be preferred.3,4

6. Lack of Adequate Imaging and Planning

Successful percutaneous treatment of CPAs relies heavily on detailed pre-procedural imaging and planning. Inadequate imaging, such as poor-quality coronary angiography or lack of advanced imaging modalities like CT angiography or MRI, can hinder the accurate assessment of the pseudoaneurysm's size, location, and relationship to adjacent structures. Without comprehensive imaging, the risk of procedural failure or complications increases, making it a contraindication for proceeding with percutaneous intervention.3,4

7. Contraindications to Anticoagulation and Antiplatelet Therapy

Patients with contraindications to anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy, such as those with recent hemorrhagic events, active bleeding disorders, or severe thrombocytopenia, may be unsuitable candidates for percutaneous treatment. These therapies are often essential during and after percutaneous procedures to prevent thromboembolic complications. Inability to safely administer these medications can increase the risk of procedural complications and adverse outcomes.3,4

8. Poor Vascular Access

Poor vascular access, such as severely diseased or occluded femoral or radial arteries, can pose significant challenges for percutaneous intervention. Inability to establish secure and reliable access routes may increase the risk of procedural complications and limit the ability to effectively deliver treatment devices. Alternative access routes or surgical intervention may be necessary in such cases.3,4

9. Patient Non-compliance or Inability to Follow Post-procedural Care

Patient compliance with post-procedural care, including adherence to antiplatelet therapy, follow-up appointments, and lifestyle modifications, is crucial for the success of percutaneous treatment. Patients who demonstrate poor compliance or have significant barriers to follow-up care may not be suitable candidates for percutaneous intervention, as this can increase the risk of recurrence and adverse outcomes.3,4

Contraindications for percutaneous treatment of coronary pseudoaneurysms are multifactorial and must be carefully evaluated to ensure patient safety and optimize outcomes. Unstable hemodynamics, unfavorable anatomical locations, large pseudoaneurysm size, active infections, extensive thrombus formation, inadequate imaging, contraindications to necessary medications, poor vascular access, and patient non-compliance are key factors that may preclude percutaneous intervention. By thoroughly assessing these contraindications, clinicians can make informed decisions and select the most appropriate management strategies for patients with CPAs.3,4

DIAGNOSTIC METHODS FOR CORONARY PSEUDOANEURYSMS

Coronary pseudoaneurysms (CPAs) are rare but serious complications that can arise from various etiologies, including percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs), trauma, or infections. Accurate diagnosis is crucial for effective management, particularly when considering percutaneous treatment options. The diagnostic approach to CPAs involves a combination of clinical assessment, imaging modalities, and sometimes invasive techniques to ensure precise localization, characterization, and risk stratification. This section outlines the comprehensive diagnostic methods essential for identifying and evaluating CPAs in the context of planning percutaneous treatment.3,4

1. Clinical Assessment and History

The diagnostic process begins with a thorough clinical assessment and detailed patient history. Key clinical features may include chest pain, dyspnea, signs of heart failure, or incidental findings on routine imaging. A history of recent PCI, trauma, or infection should raise suspicion for CPA. Physical examination may reveal signs of hemodynamic compromise or other complications, guiding further diagnostic evaluations.3,4

2. Electrocardiography (ECG)
While ECG is not specific for CPAs, it is a valuable initial tool to assess for myocardial ischemia or infarction, which may result from CPA-related complications such as thromboembolism or compression of coronary arteries. ECG changes, including new Q waves, ST-segment elevation or depression, and T-wave inversions, may prompt further investigation for underlying CPAs.3,4

3. Transthoracic Echocardiography (TTE)
TTE is a non-invasive imaging modality that can provide initial insight into the presence of a CPA. It allows visualization of cardiac structures and can detect abnormalities such as aneurysmal dilatation, ventricular dysfunction, or associated pericardial effusion. However, the sensitivity of TTE for detecting CPAs is limited, especially for small or distal pseudoaneurysms.5,6

4. Transesophageal Echocardiography (TEE)
TEE offers superior imaging resolution compared to TTE, particularly for structures located near the heart's posterior aspect. It provides detailed visualization of the coronary arteries and can more accurately detect and characterize CPAs, including their size, morphology, and relationship to adjacent cardiac structures. TEE is particularly useful for evaluating CPAs that are not well visualized on TTE.5,6

5. Coronary Angiography
Invasive coronary angiography remains the gold standard for diagnosing CPAs. It provides high-resolution images of the coronary vasculature and allows direct visualization of the pseudoaneurysm, including its size, location, and communication with the parent artery. Angiography can also assess the presence of thrombus and guide the planning of percutaneous interventions by delineating the anatomical details necessary for device selection and deployment.5,6

6. Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA)
CTA is a non-invasive imaging technique that offers excellent spatial resolution and detailed anatomical visualization of the coronary arteries and surrounding structures. It is particularly useful for identifying the extent of the pseudoaneurysm, its spatial relationship with adjacent tissues, and any associated complications such as rupture or fistula formation. CTA can also aid in procedural planning by providing a three-dimensional roadmap for percutaneous treatment.5,6

7. Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA)
MRA provides high-resolution images without ionizing radiation and offers superior tissue contrast, making it valuable for evaluating CPAs. It can accurately depict the size, shape, and location of the pseudoaneurysm and assess myocardial perfusion and viability. MRA is especially useful in patients with contraindications to iodinated contrast agents used in CTA. It also provides functional information, such as flow dynamics within the pseudoaneurysm, which can guide treatment decisions.5,6

8. Intravascular Ultrasound (IVUS)
IVUS is an intravascular imaging technique that provides detailed cross-sectional images of the coronary artery wall and the pseudoaneurysm. It is particularly useful for assessing the extent of arterial wall disruption and the presence of thrombus within the pseudoaneurysm. IVUS can also help guide percutaneous interventions by providing real-time visualization during the procedure, ensuring accurate placement of devices such as covered stents or coils.5,6

9. Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT)
OCT is an advanced intravascular imaging modality that offers ultra-high-resolution images of the coronary artery lumen and wall. It can provide detailed information about the structural integrity of the vessel wall and the pseudoaneurysm's characteristics, including the presence of microchannels or residual thrombus. OCT is especially useful for guiding precise percutaneous interventions and assessing post-procedural outcomes.5,6

10. Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
PET imaging, particularly when combined with CT (PET-CT), can provide metabolic and anatomical information about CPAs. It is useful for identifying active inflammation or infection associated with the pseudoaneurysm, which may influence treatment decisions. PET-CT can also help differentiate between pseudoaneurysms and other types of vascular or cardiac masses.5,6

A comprehensive diagnostic approach is essential for accurately identifying and evaluating coronary pseudoaneurysms, particularly when considering percutaneous treatment options. Clinical assessment and history, combined with a variety of imaging modalities such as TTE, TEE, coronary angiography, CTA, MRA, IVUS, OCT, and PET, provide a detailed understanding of the pseudoaneurysm's characteristics and associated complications. These diagnostic methods ensure precise localization, characterization, and risk stratification, enabling clinicians to select the most appropriate and effective treatment strategies for patients with CPAs.5,6

CURRENT THERAPEUTIC METHODS

Coronary pseudoaneurysms (CPAs) are pathological dilatations of the coronary artery wall that can lead to severe complications such as rupture, thrombosis, and myocardial ischemia. While surgical intervention has been the traditional approach for managing CPAs, advances in interventional cardiology have expanded the repertoire of percutaneous techniques. These minimally invasive methods offer viable alternatives with reduced morbidity and faster recovery times. This section reviews the current therapeutic methods for the percutaneous treatment of CPAs, detailing their mechanisms, indications, and outcomes.5,6

1. Covered Stent Placement
Mechanism: Covered stents, also known as stent grafts, are designed to exclude the pseudoaneurysm from the coronary

circulation by providing a physical barrier between the arterial lumen and the pseudoaneurysmal cavity. These stents are typically composed of a metallic scaffold covered by a synthetic material such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE).5,6

Indications: Covered stents are indicated for CPAs located in accessible segments of the coronary arteries where precise deployment is feasible. They are particularly useful for pseudoaneurysms arising from previous stent placements or balloon angioplasty.5,6

Procedure: The procedure involves advancing the covered stent to the site of the pseudoaneurysm using standard coronary angioplasty techniques. Under fluoroscopic guidance, the stent is deployed to cover the neck of the pseudoaneurysm, thereby sealing it off from the arterial lumen.5,6

Outcomes: Covered stent placement has shown high success rates in excluding CPAs, with immediate sealing and restoration of vessel integrity. However, long-term outcomes depend on factors such as stent patency, neointimal hyperplasia, and the risk of stent thrombosis.5,6

2. Coil Embolization

Mechanism: Coil embolization involves the introduction of metallic coils into the pseudoaneurysmal sac to induce thrombosis and subsequent occlusion. The coils create a scaffold for clot formation, leading to the exclusion of the pseudoaneurysm from the circulation.5,6

Indications: This method is suitable for CPAs with a well-defined neck and sufficient space to accommodate the coils. It is often used for smaller pseudoaneurysms or those located in branches or side branches of the coronary arteries.5,6

Procedure: Using a microcatheter, the coils are delivered into the pseudoaneurysmal sac under fluoroscopic guidance. The number and size of the coils are selected based on the dimensions of the pseudoaneurysm to ensure complete occlusion.5,6

Outcomes: Coil embolization is effective in promoting thrombosis and sealing the pseudoaneurysm. It is a valuable option when covered stents are not feasible. However, there is a risk of coil migration and incomplete occlusion, necessitating careful follow-up.5,6

3. Thrombin Injection

Mechanism: Direct injection of thrombin into the pseudoaneurysmal cavity induces rapid thrombosis, leading to occlusion and exclusion of the pseudoaneurysm. Thrombin is a potent procoagulant that converts fibrinogen to fibrin, forming a stable clot.5,6

Indications: Thrombin injection is indicated for CPAs with a narrow neck, which minimizes the risk of thrombin extravasation into the arterial lumen. It is particularly useful for pseudoaneurysms that are difficult to access with stents or coils.5,6

Procedure: Under imaging guidance, a fine needle is advanced percutaneously or via a catheter into the pseudoaneurysmal sac. A controlled amount of thrombin is then injected, ensuring the entire cavity is filled to promote clot formation.5,6

Outcomes: Thrombin injection is a quick and effective method for inducing pseudoaneurysm thrombosis. The primary risks include embolization of thrombin into the coronary circulation and incomplete thrombosis, necessitating follow-up imaging.5,6

4. Endovascular Graft Exclusion

Mechanism: Endovascular graft exclusion involves the use of larger, more complex stent grafts to exclude the pseudoaneurysm from the arterial lumen. These grafts are typically reserved for larger or more complex pseudoaneurysms.5,6

Indications: This method is indicated for large CPAs or those with complex anatomy that are not amenable to simpler stenting or coiling techniques. It is often considered when there is a high risk of rupture or significant hemodynamic compromise.5,6

Procedure: Similar to covered stent placement, endovascular grafts are deployed to cover the pseudoaneurysm. The procedure requires precise imaging and advanced interventional techniques to ensure accurate placement and sealing.6,7

Outcomes: Endovascular graft exclusion provides robust exclusion of the pseudoaneurysm with reduced risk of recurrence. However, it carries higher procedural complexity and potential complications such as graft migration or endoleak.6,7

5. Use of Bioabsorbable Stents

Mechanism: Bioabsorbable stents are designed to provide temporary mechanical support to the vessel while promoting natural healing and eventually being absorbed by the body. This approach aims to reduce long-term complications associated with permanent metallic stents.6,7

Indications: These stents are indicated for CPAs where long-term vessel patency is critical and the risk of late complications needs to be minimized. They are particularly useful in younger patients or those with complex coronary anatomy.6,7

Procedure: The bioabsorbable stent is deployed in a manner similar to traditional stents, ensuring it covers the neck of the pseudoaneurysm. Over time, the stent degrades and is absorbed, leaving behind a healed vessel.6,7

Outcomes: Early results with bioabsorbable stents are promising, showing effective exclusion of CPAs and reduced long-term complications. However, more data are needed to fully understand their long-term efficacy and safety.6,7

The percutaneous treatment of coronary pseudoaneurysms encompasses a variety of advanced techniques tailored to the specific anatomical and clinical characteristics of the pseudoaneurysm. Covered stents, coil embolization, thrombin injection, endovascular graft exclusion, and bioabsorbable stents each offer unique advantages and potential risks. The choice of therapeutic method depends on factors such as the size, location, and complexity of the CPA, as well as patient-specific considerations. With ongoing advancements in interventional cardiology, the repertoire of percutaneous treatment options continues to expand, providing safer and more effective alternatives to surgical intervention for patients with coronary pseudoaneurysms.6,7

CONCLUSIONS

The percutaneous treatment of coronary pseudoaneurysms (CPAs) represents a significant advancement in the field of interventional cardiology, offering a minimally invasive approach to managing this potentially life-threatening condition. The evolution of percutaneous techniques has provided clinicians with a diverse array of tools to effectively address CPAs, each with distinct advantages and challenges. The following conclusions encapsulate the critical insights and future directions gleaned from the current state of percutaneous CPA management.8,9

1. Efficacy and Safety of Percutaneous Techniques

Percutaneous interventions for CPAs, including covered stent placement, coil embolization, thrombin injection, and endovascular graft exclusion, have demonstrated high efficacy in sealing pseudoaneurysms and preventing rupture. These methods provide immediate mechanical stabilization, reduce the risk of thromboembolic events, and restore vessel integrity with minimal invasiveness compared to traditional surgical approaches. The success rates of these interventions are bolstered by advances in imaging techniques and device technology, ensuring precise delivery and deployment.8,9

However, the safety profile of these procedures remains a paramount concern. Complications such as stent thrombosis, device migration, and incomplete pseudoaneurysm exclusion necessitate meticulous procedural planning and post-procedural monitoring. The risk of adverse events underscores the importance of selecting the most appropriate percutaneous technique based on individual patient and pseudoaneurysm characteristics.8,9

2. Role of Imaging in Diagnosis and Procedural Guidance

The integration of advanced imaging modalities, including coronary angiography, computed tomography angiography (CTA), magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), intravascular ultrasound (IVUS), and optical coherence tomography (OCT), has revolutionized the diagnosis and management of CPAs. These imaging techniques provide detailed anatomical and functional information, enabling accurate localization, characterization, and risk stratification of pseudoaneurysms. High-resolution imaging is crucial for procedural guidance, ensuring precise device placement and optimal treatment outcomes.8,9

Imaging also plays a vital role in post-procedural follow-up, allowing for the early detection of complications such as residual leaks, stent malposition, and recurrent pseudoaneurysm formation. The ongoing development of imaging technologies promises to enhance the diagnostic accuracy and procedural safety of percutaneous CPA interventions.8,9

3. Patient Selection and Individualized Treatment

The successful management of CPAs through percutaneous techniques hinges on careful patient selection and individualized treatment planning. Key factors influencing treatment decisions include the size, location, and morphology of the pseudoaneurysm, as well as the patient’s clinical status and comorbidities. High-risk patients, such as those with hemodynamic instability, extensive thrombus formation, or active infection, may require alternative management strategies or additional stabilization before percutaneous intervention.8,9

A multidisciplinary approach involving interventional cardiologists, cardiothoracic surgeons, and imaging specialists is essential for devising comprehensive treatment plans tailored to each patient’s unique circumstances. This collaborative effort ensures that the chosen percutaneous technique aligns with the patient's overall health and therapeutic goals.8,9

4. Long-term Outcomes and Future Directions

While percutaneous treatment of CPAs offers immediate benefits, long-term outcomes remain a subject of ongoing investigation. Studies have shown favorable short- to mid-term results, but the durability of these interventions over extended periods requires further evaluation. Long-term follow-up is critical to monitor for late complications, such as stent restenosis, device degradation, and recurrence of the pseudoaneurysm.8,9

Future research should focus on optimizing device design, enhancing biocompatibility, and developing novel materials that reduce the risk of long-term complications. The advent of bioabsorbable stents represents a promising direction, offering temporary support with eventual resorption, potentially minimizing late adverse events.8,9

5. Importance of Multidisciplinary Collaboration and Patient-Centered Care

The complexity of CPAs necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to treatment, encompassing expertise from various medical specialties. Collaborative decision-making ensures that all aspects of the patient's condition are considered, from the initial diagnosis through to post-procedural care. This approach not only enhances procedural success rates but also improves overall patient outcomes.8,9

Patient-centered care remains a cornerstone of successful CPA management. Involving patients in the decision-making process, educating them about the risks and benefits of different treatment options, and addressing their preferences and concerns are crucial components of holistic care. Ensuring adherence to post-procedural care plans, including antiplatelet therapy and regular follow-up visits, further contributes to favorable long-term outcomes.8,9

The percutaneous treatment of coronary pseudoaneurysms represents a paradigm shift in the management of this challenging condition. Advances in imaging, device technology, and interventional techniques have expanded the therapeutic arsenal available to clinicians, offering effective and less invasive alternatives to traditional surgery. While percutaneous interventions have demonstrated high efficacy and safety in the short term, ongoing research and innovation are essential to optimize long-term outcomes and address the inherent risks associated with these procedures.8,9

A multidisciplinary, patient-centered approach is paramount to the successful management of CPAs, ensuring that each patient receives individualized care tailored to their specific needs. As the field of interventional cardiology continues to evolve, the percutaneous treatment of CPAs will undoubtedly benefit from ongoing advancements, ultimately improving the prognosis and quality of life for patients afflicted by this condition.

REFERENCES

I. Sheikh AS, Hailan A, Kinnaird T, et al.. Coronary artery aneurysm: evaluation, prognosis, and proposed treatment strategies. *Heart Views*. 2019;20:101–8.

II. Hong SJ, Kim H, Ahn CM, et al.. Coronary artery aneurysm after second-generation drug-eluting stent implantation.*Yonsei Med J*. 2019;60:824–31.

III. Abou Sherif S, Ozden Tok O, Taşköylü O, et al.. Coronary artery aneurysms: a review of the epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2017;4:24.

IV. Kapoor A, Batra A, Kumar S, et al.. Coronary pseudoaneurysm in a non-polymer drug-eluting stent: a rare entity. *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann*. 2011;19:407–10.

V. Aoki J, Kirtane A, Leon MB, et al.. Coronary artery aneurysms after drug-eluting stent implantation. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2008;1:14–21.

VI. Hassan A, Uretsky BF, Vargas Estrada AM, et al.. Systematic review of the evaluation and management of coronary pseudoaneurysm after stent implantation. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2021;98:107–16.

VII. Gowani SA, Hiendlmayr B, Abdelaziz A, et al.. Coronary bypass graft pseudoaneurysm successfully treated by PTFE-Covered Jostent Graft master. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2018;30:E41.

VIII. Praveen N, Polamuri P, Menon R, et al.. Percutaneous closure of a large coronary pseudoaneurysm with a stent graft – A case report. *J Cardiothoracic Heart Dis*. 2020;01:1–6.

IX. Hachinohe D, Latib A, Laricchia A, et al.. Long-term follow-up of covered stent implantation for various coronary artery diseases. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2019;94:571–7.